CONFIDENTIAL

195 3.

File No. NS-92.

C CLIMES

P.W. DEPARTMENT

F. BRANCH

Subject:— Complaints.

C(K)

(P) 34/(F.H)

File No. NS-92-F

Ltd. Scott. Slip. 24 A

Std. Sectt. 78A e.

G R., G D., No. 2408, dated 22-5-22.]

Correspondence

DOCKET SHEET (B)

Subject :—

File No. _____

_____ DEPT.

_____ BRANCH.

References :—

Separated from Main file- C(M).

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.

Dharwar.
26-4-1953.

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS DEPTT.
Recd. on 29/8/53
Ackd. on Quominique
Passed on to ..... Deptt.
on .....

To;

The Honourable minister,
P.W.D. Bombay state,
Bombay.

Subject:— Nizam's Darbar in the D.I. Division, Dharwar.

Respected Sir,

We, the subordinate staff of the D.I. Dn., Beg to state the following matters for your kind consideration and immediate action.

Sir, we have been suffering a lot on account of the unprincipled administration and mean mentality of the officers of this division. The suffering has already reached the "Tensingh's mount Everst, during this year. The descriptive memoirs of the following individuals, will convince you of the fact.

Secy P.W.D. 30/9/53

(1) The EX. Engr. (present):— He is un-fit to be the head of the divisional office on account of the following reasons. He is neither intelligent nor hard-working. He is dull and bears a very weak memory power. No grasping capacity. Most easy-going fellow. Expects too much arrangements on his comps and pays nothing. Never gives any decision on spot nor realises the practical difficulties of the things. He is a signing master. Blindly signs all

papers, put up on his tables. This is the greatest draw back in the divisional officers, such usually leads and now has already lead to the high handedness of the low calibre establishment staff of the office. In short, he is wooden man and to be shifted immediately from this place.

2) P.A. to E.E (present):- Intelligent, hard-worker and able hand. But, most corruptive and selfish natured man. Govt. knows about him, of the Govt. affairs and hence not to be told much here. To be transferred to his parent circle.

3) Head-clerk (present):- He is also intelligent hard-working and able hand. But, his greatest draw back is to give ears to the others sayings. He has never tried to get himself cleared his mis-understandings about any body by personal enquiry etc. Prejudice minded fellow. Accepts 'bribes' in form of materials. He should not be kept for a long time in one and the same place. He is overdue for transfer and to be thrown elsewhere.

4) Accountant (present):- He has very recently joined here. Hence, nothing can be said about him at this stage. Seems to be simple but raw and inexperienced.

5) Accountant (former, shri Gaonkar):- Most corruptive man. Drunkard. Accepts bribes openly. Spoils the careers of those, who do not yield to his favourite above mentioned qualities. He should not be given chance to work in Karwar, Dharwar and Belgaum Districts, as he has and will play all sorts of mischiefs. He should be kept under a strict administration, and away from his native and neighbouring Districts.

6) The S.D.O. D.I.P. Sub-Dn, Dharwar (present):- Intelligent. He was, but not now, a hard worker and reasonable, as long

as he was in the late Dharwar minor irrigation Division. He has lost all his good qualities on having come to this Division; that too, on last friendship with the former accountant. He is sweet in lips and bitter in heart and actions. Prejudice minded man. All favourite qualities, of the former accountant, have creeped in him. He is named as TC-2nd, sub-divisional officers, on account of the actions taken by him against his subordinates. Owing to his narrow mindedness, he has already and will also thereinafter lose the confidence of his superiors. He should not be kept in Karwar, Dharwar and Belgaum Districts, in his own interest.

(7) The S.D.O. Haliyal (Shri. Subramaniam):— His fame has already been published in the "Kasturi Vritta", which the Govt. knows thoroughly. Hence, not to be told much here.

(8) The S.D.O. Sirsi. (present):— Not intelligent. Lacking in practical experience. No self confidence at all. Never gives definite instructions and decisions on spot to his subordinates. High perceptive corruptive man. He is also a signing master often changing in opinions. Always awaits for decisions from his superiors even for plain matters. Partial and prejudice minded man. Incapable administrator. Never takes responsibilities of the work and hence has been proved unfit for heavy and prompt works. Easy-going fellow. In short, he is the younger brother of the Ex Engineer in all respects. He is therefore to be put under the close supervision of an able administrator as a P.A. only.

(9) The S.D.O. Hangal (present):— He is intelligent hard worker and able hand. But too much spirited man and thus gets himself created un-necessary misunderstandings with his subordinates. He too gives ears to the others sayings. Appears to be quite aloof from money matters. He should be transferred anywhere expecting the Dharwar, Belgaum and Bijapur Districts.

(10) The S.D.O. Ranebennur (present): We are very proud to say that he is the only capable hand in our whole division. He is intelligent, and hard-worker and realises the practical difficulties of the things. Able administrator. Well-versed both in accounts and technical matters. But, by British policy of divide and rule, has spoiled his name. In addition, he is also a corruptive man. Keeps direct contact with the contractors, and thus creats unnecessary frictions, he is also between the subordinates, himself and contractors. He should, therefore, be severely warned to improve his habits. Not to be kept for more than two years in one and the same place.

(11) The S.D.O. Kalaghatgi (present): He has very recently joined here. Hence not to be told much. However, it appears from his general nature and appearance that he has not got the good qualities that are essential for a gazetted officer. He is weak in constitution said suffering from disease, and hence, unfit for out-door duties. He may in his own interests be posted as a project overseer or, as a P.A. if at all the Govt wants to favour him.

(12) The S.D.O. Kalghatgi (Shri A.V. Kulkarni): Most corruptive, dangerous and back biting man. Double tongued fellow. Sweet in lips and bitter in heart and actions. He shirks responsibilities when the things come to decisions. He is only after money. Begs the contractors for money after handing over cheques to them. No self respect to this honourable gentleman in this respect. Never believes his subordinates. He is also called as "C-2", sub-divisional officer on account of his actions towards his subordinates. He makes money at the cost of his subordinates. Such a third-rate officer, has now been given a big Sub-Dn in the Ghpt. Dn. No. 1. He should never be posted to any working sub-Dn. He is only fit for P.A. post under a good and strict administrator. He has spoiled the careers of so many subordinates. Hence, deserves punishment.

(13) The S.E K.I.C.C pr[illegible]:- Not so brilliant, cap[illegible]e and considerate man as shri N.S.Joshi, the former S.E, and the present S.E.S.C. He accepts gratifications honourably through his H.C. and some selected S.D.O.S. He is the root cause for the Ghpt mis-management affairs. For, he knowingly slept over the matters, till they came to light; as he used to get his full share from the selected pets. Now, the poor subordinates are to be the victims. It is evident that no mis-chief, of any sort, can be played by subordinates without the knowledge of their superiors. Hence, we pray justice in this matter. He is only at Dharwar since 1947. Hence over-due for transfer. To be moved to northern-circle.

Sir, we heartily request you to take immediate actions as mentioned above, against the individuals and mend the situation, as other-wise, matter may go from bad to worse.

General (and important):- The present S.E.K.I.C and his H.C, and the E.E.D.I Dn. Dharwar and his H.C, may please be transferred at once, in the interest of Work as well as of the individuals. They are here since 1949-50. Prompt and just action is awaited in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

Copy f.w.rs. for information and immediate action to:- The staff D.I. Dn. Dharwar.

(1) The premier, the Bombay State, Bombay.
(2) The chief Engineer (Irrigation), Bombay P.W.D., Bombay.
(3) The Secretary, P.W.D. the Bombay State, Bombay.
(4) The S.E.S.C. Belgaum, Fort, Belgaum.
(5) The S.E.K.I.C. Dharwar.

7 39 NS 92/116227

P. W. D.

F

In the anonymous representation within allegations in general have been made against Shri N. B. Kudalkar, Ex. Engr., Dharwar Irrign. Dn., and the estabt. under him.

Record.

14/10/53.

D. S.

14/10/53

14/10

मे. सेक्रेटरी गव्हर्नमेंट ऑफ पी. डब्ल्यू.
डी. यांच्या हुजुरास -

167

अर्जदार :- नारायणराव राघोबा आसुकर यांनें लिहून दिलेला अर्ज ऐसाजे.

A 1) कारवार जिल्हा रुपा पेठपैकी आसनगांव ग्रामां- तील सर्वे नं. ४१ तलाव रिपेरी कामगिरी यावर्षी इरीगेशन खात्यानें करनन दिलेली पाहिली तर फारच आश्चर्यकारक दिसत आहे. सदरी तलावाबाबत योग्य विचार कॉंग्रेस गव्हर्नमेंट करतील अशी आशा वाटते म्हणून अर्ज केला आहे.

(१) सदरी तलाव पाईप ३ फूट पाणी राहील असे सदरी खात्याचें ओवरशेर व कारकूननीसांगतलें होते. तर पाईप तळयाच्या पातळीच्याहि खाली सुमारें १॥ फू. खाली बसविला आहे. सदरी तलावामध्यें पाणी कांहीं राहत नाहीं असें दिसून येत आहे. कारण सदरी खात्याचें साहेब तलाव पाहून आह्मांला आश्चर्य वाटत आहे. आणि ओवरशेर साहेब तर आपलं तेच केलेलं खरं असे विचारणाऱ्यांना सांगत आहेत. तसेंच साहेब सुध्दां त्यांच्याप्रमाणें वागत आहेत. व साहेबांनी (ओवरशेर व साहेब) शिंदे नांवाच्या पट्टेवाल्याला व दुसऱ्याला नोकरीवरून कमी करून घरी पाठविलें आहेत. सदरी आपल्या खात्यांतील कन्ट्रॅक्टर त्यांच्य ठराव झालेल्यांत कमी झाल्यास ऑफीसाकडून त्याबद्दलचा नोटीस काढत आहेत. आणि याला जबाबदार आपणच आहोत असे ओवरशेर म्हणतात.

2) (२) ओवरशेर हें मागें यल्लापूरला असतांना तेथें ३-४ शें रुपयें कर्ज करून उकडे वदली करून घेतली आहे. तसेंच येथें सुपा पेठाला आल्यावर कन्ट्रॅक्टरपासून त्यांचे घेऊन आपणासाठीं अजमासें २ किंवा ३ महिन्यामागें ४२५ रुपयांचा रेडिओ सेट देऊन आणला आहे. तो रेडिओ काढून ऑफिसला योग्य नसलेल्या जागी म्हणजे यार्डी यांच्या घरी (फक्त वायका असलेल्या) ठिकाणी एकटीला सोबत ठेवली आहे. ही गोष्ट सर्व सुपा पेठला समजलीच आहे. त्याप्रमाणें कॉंग्रेस सरकारला शरम वाटण्यासारखी गोष्ट आहे.

सचे व नविन घरकुलाच्या यादी प्रमाणे आपण स्वधार व त्यांच्या बायकांचे त्यांना वाटेल ते वरचे काम सरकारी पगारी देऊन करून घेत आहे.

(3) ओवरशेर व साहेब हे दोघेजण एकाच गावचे व एकाच जातीच्या असल्यामुळे वरील सर्व गोष्टी होत आहेत. या सर्व बाबींचा योग्य व तत्वकर विचार करण्याकरिता हा अर्ज आपणाकडे सादर केला आहे.

तसेच काँग्रेस सरकारचे नाव बदनामी तुम्ही करणार नसल्याचे वाटल्यावरून हा अर्ज पाठवत आहे.

काँग्रेसची पंचवार्षिक योजना यशस्वी होण्यास विनाकरण पैसा खर्च करून हे काम (सदरी तलाव) अधिक धान्य पिकवा मोहिमेला धोका देणारे आहे. तेव्हा तात्काळ अन्नासंबंधाने राज्याचे आद्य कर्तव्य समजून या कामाचा तत्वकरच निकाल द्यावा. अशी नम्र विनंति आहे. म्हणून लिहून दिला अर्ज

तारीख- 5-6-1953

सही-
नारायणराव राघोबा मासुकर

164

F Bn 4
196

1/6

F 12/6 1/6

W.D.
NS 95
NS-92
-67624

AF
9-6-53

3

165 NS92/67624

F.Br. P.W.D.

Shri N. R. Asurkar has stated that Tank Malwali in Survey No. 41 in Asangaon, Dist. Kanara is not properly built and as such it is a total loss to Govt. The applicant has further alleged that the overseer in charge of the section is in a habit of accepting illegal gratification from contractors. The applicant therefore requests detailed investigation in the matter.

2. Extract paras 1 and 2 of Shri Asurkar's application may perhaps be forwarded to the SE, S.C. for remarks. If so, the draft put up may issue (P-4 page)

6.7.55.

Dy. Secy.

Below extract paras 1 and 2 of an application, dt. 5.6.53 from Shri N. R. Asurkar req: allegations against the overseer in charge of construction of Tank at Asangaon

---

No. NS 92/67624-F. P.W.D.,
B.C. (Bbay No.1), 10th July 53.

Copies forwarded to the S.E., S.C. for early ~~immediate~~ remarks :-

By order etc.

for the Govt. of Bbay
P.W.D.

Below Government Endorsement No. NS 92/67624 F. dated the 10th July 1953 forwarding extracts from the letter dated 5-6-53 from Shri N. R. Asukar, of Asangaon, Petha Supa District Karwar.

No. G-80.

Office of the
Superintending Engineer, S.C.,
Belgaum: 15 JUL 1953

Forwarded with compliments to the Superintending Engineer, K.I.C., Dharwar for disposal, as the irrigation works in the above Ghat Talukas of the Kanara District come within his jurisdiction.

Tech.ASstt.
for Superintending Engineer, S.C.

BPK.15-7-53.

Copy forwared with compliments to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. Bombay, for information.

NS92/83825

Q.118

F.B.

D.W.D.

Further developments in the matter may be communicated.

A8.(I)

F. W. D.
No. NS 92
Serial No. 8382-5

17-7-53

NS92/83825.

PWD.

1657

7 Bz.

The S.E., K.I.C., expedited under No. NS92/83825 F, dt. the 19-8-53.

18/8/53.

Supdt.

18/8/53

ISSUED

18/8

Ch 18/8/53

19/8

Sr Asst
19/8

NS92/8382S — 8/170

To. P.W.D.

The S.E., K.D.C., expedited again under No. NS92/8382S F, dt. the 8-9-53.

8/9/53

ISSUED
Date 8/9

9171

Confidential.

No.M.I.4/H1,

Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Post Box No.28,
Dharwar, 11th Sept.1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Letter dated the 5th June 1953 from Shri.N.R.Asukar of Asangaon in Supa Petha of the Kanara Dist. Allegations contained in -

Reference: Govt.P.W.D.reminder Card No. NS 92/83825-F, dated the 8th September 1953.

The Executive Engineer, Dharwar Irrigation Division, Dharwar's report called for in the matter is still awaited. He has again been expedited for very early submission of the report.

Superintending Engineer,K.I.C.

Awaiting

+173

CONFIDENTIAL. Office of the Superintending Engineer,
No. M.I.4/Hl: Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar, 22nd Septr. 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department, Bombay-1.

Subject:- Letter dated 5th June 1953 from Shri. N.R.Asukar of Asangaon in Supa Petha of the Kanara District. Allegations contained in...

Reference: In continuation of this office letter No.M.I.4/Hl: (Confidential) dated the 11th Septr.1953.

The Executive Engineer, Dharwar Irrigation Division, Dharwar, states that the application to Government from Shri. N.R.Asukar is pseudonymous but that, in accordance with the instructions recently issued in Government Circular, Political & Services Department, No.MIS-1153/C-3512-B, dated the 28th July 1953, a notice by Registered Post- Ack.Due - has been issued to the address of Shri.Asukar for verifying the pseudonymity.

2. A reply to the Government reference will be submitted on receipt of a final report from the Executive Engineer.

Superintending Engineer, K. I. C.

T2 T74

EE. PWD.

Airail-pll

28/9/53

AE (I).

28/9/53

EE. PWD.

The EE., I.C.E., expedite
Endg No. NSG/P/113056F,
dt. 31-10-53

31/10/53.

31/10/53

113056

31/10/53

28/10/53

प्रीयुस — ना. रा. आयुकर यांच्या 13/175 दिनांक ५ जुन १९५३ च्या पत्रांतील उतारे —

कारवार जिल्हा सुपा पठारपैकी आसनगांव ग्रामांतील सर्वे नं ४१ तलाव रिपेरी कामगीरी यावर्षी इरीगेशन खात्याने करुन दिलेली पाहीली तर फारच आश्चर्यकारक दिसत आहे. सदरी तलावाबाबत योग्य विचार कॉंग्रेस गव्हर्नमेंट करतील अशी आशा वाटते. म्हणून अर्ज केला आहे.

(१) सदरी तलाव पाईप ३ फूट पाणी राहील असें सदरी खात्याचें ओवरसीर व कारकुननी सांगतले होते. तर पाईप तळ्याच्या पातळीच्याहि खाली सुमारे १॥ फूट खाली बसविला आहे. सदरी तलावामध्ये पाणी कांहीं राहत नाहीं असें दिसून येत आहे. कारण सदरी खात्याचे साहेब तलाव पाहून आम्हाला आश्चर्य वाटत आहे. आणि ओवरसीर साहेब तर आपलं तेंच केलेलं खरं असे विचारणाऱ्यांना सांगत आहेत. तसेच साहेब सुद्धां त्यांच्याप्रमाणे वागत आहेत व साहेबांनी (ओवरसीर व साहेब) पडीत जमीनीच्या पट्टेवाल्याला व दुसऱ्याला नोकरीवरुन कमी करुन घरी पाठविलें आहेत. सदरी आपल्या खात्यांतील कन्ट्रॅक्टर लांच घरुन गेल्यापासून कमी झाल्याने ऑफिसकडून जाबडतोंब जोरीस काढत आहेत. आणि याला जबाबदार आपणच आहोंत असे ओवरसीर म्हणतात.

(२) ओवरसीर हे मागें येल्लापूरला असताना तेथें ३-४ लाख रूपये कर्ज करुन इकडे बदली करुन घेतली आहे. तसेच येथें सुपा पेठाला आल्यानंतर कंन्ट्राक्टरपासून लांच घेऊन आपणासाठीं अडीमासें २ किंवा ३ महिन्यामागें ४२५ रुपयांचा रेडिओ सेट देऊन आणला आहे. तो रेडिओ आणून ऑफिसला योग्य नसलेल्या जागी म्हणजे यांदीयाच्या घरी (फक्त बायका असलेल्या) ठिकाणी एकटीला सोबत देवली आहे. ही गोष्ट सर्वे सुपा पेठाला समजलीच आहे. त्याचप्रमाणें कॉंग्रेस सरकारला हानिकारक वाटण्यासारखी गोष्ट आहे. तसेच ते नविन घेतलेल्या पट्टेवाल्यांला आपण स्वतः व त्यांच्या बायका त्यांना वाटेल ते

घरचे काम सरकारी पगार देऊन करून घेतात.



No.NS 92/67624 F. Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No.1)
10th July 1953.

Copies forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, for early remarks.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Bombay,

Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

AG/10.7.53.

Letter

Confidential.

No.M.I.4/H1.

Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Post Box No.28,
Dharwar, 10th Nov. 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Letter dated the 5th June 1953 from Shri.N.R.Asukar of Asangaon in Supa Petha of the Kanara District, addressed to Govt.

Reference: Allegations contained in --

The following facts now furnish confirmation that the letter quoted above is pseudonymous:-

The communication addressed by the Executive Engineer, Dharwar Irrigation Division, Dharwar, by Registered Post - Acknowledgement Due - to Shri.N.R. Asukar, Asangaon, Petha Supa, District North Kanara, has been received back undelivered with a superscription on the cover by the Postal Department, to the effect that the addressee is not known. That the person is unknown is also vouched for by the Police Patil of Asangaon with whom enquiries were made by the Executive Engineer.

2. In view of the allegations contained in the letter, purporting to have emanated from Shri.Asukar, regarding unsatisfactory execution of work &c., the Executive Engineer had also, however, been requested to investigate into and report on the veracity or otherwise of the allegations. The Executive Engineer after carrying out a personal inspection on the 20th October 1953, of the tank in S.No.41 of Asangaon, and investigating into the matter, has now reported as under.

3. The sill of the outlet pipe has been kept at the same level as the original cut through which water was previously being supplied to the fields. The level of the new waste weir cut is 3 feet above the sill of the outlet pipe so that the tank will store 3 feet of water above the outlet sill when the outlet is closed.

4. As regards the alleged discharge of one Shri.Shinde, peon, and another, Shri.N.B.Shinde who had been posted as a Khalasi under the sectional Overseer at Supa remained unauthorisedly absent from the 27th December 1952, left the place and later, tendered his resignation, dated the 5th February 1953, of the post. The resignation was accepted, terminating his services with effect from the 27th December 1952 - the date on which he quitted the post. No other Khalasi on the Temporary Establishment is reported to have been posted at Supa either before or after the appointment of Shri.N.B.Shinde, upto the 26th October 1953 - the date of the Executive Engineer's report. Hence, the allegation of the discharge of another peon or Khalasi is also without any foundation.

5. All the allegations dealt with above, have thus proved to be untruthful, and the other

allegations....

-16- 178

allegations made also appear to be of a like nature. The Executive Engineer reports that the personal investigation made into the allegations against the Overseer about acceptance of illegal gratification from contractors; purchase of a radio by taking bribes from contractors and utilising the services of a Khalasi for private work, are all found to be false. The radio is reported to have been owned by the Overseer even before his transfer to Supa, and a room in the house belonging to Shri.Yardi referred to in the letter, has been hired on payment of rent by the Overseer, for his residence

6. The letter under reference, which is herewith returned appears to have been indited with malicious intent by some person harbouring animosity against the overseer.

D.A.- P/13
One letter in original bearing Govt.endt.No. NS 92/67624-F, dated 10-7-1953 thereon. P4

Superintending Engineer,K.I.C.

P/9/11

NS92
131960

F.B.

NS92/131960.

PWD.

7Be.

A complaint was recd. from Shri N.R. Asuticar containing allegations against unsatisfactory execution of work of the Tank in S. No. 41 in Asangaon. The other allegations in the complaint were directed to the Overseer at Supa about acceptance of illegal gratification from contractors, purchase of a radio by taking bribes from contractors, utilizing services of a khalasi for private work etc.

2. Pl. see in this con. the detailed report of the S.E., K.D.C., within. The S.E. observes that the letter is pseudonymous and has been indited with malicious intent by some person harbouring animosity against the Overseer. The S.E. has further reported

18/180

that the allegations contained in the applns. are found to be false.

3. In the circumstances stated by the S.E., K.D.C., no further action on these papers appears necy. and they may, if applrs., be recorded through I Br.

25/11/53.

26/11/53

19/81

मेहरबान सेक्रेटरी टू द गव्हर्नमेंट पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. मुंबई यांचे हुजुरास —

अर्जदार :— नारायण राघोबा असुकर. मु. आसन गांव, पेठा-सुपा, जि. कारवार

Exh 1-2

मी आमीगे ता. १५-६-१९५३ रोजी आपणाकडे एक अर्ज केला होता. त्याचा विचार मी व्हावेशगांवी गेल्यावेळी झाला म्हणे. पण त्याचेबद्दल काय झाले ते मला कांहीं कळलें नाहीं. तरी कृपा करुन त्याविषयी काय झाले तें जरुर कळविणें.

सदरी आसनगांव मधील तळें (सर्वे नं. ४१) यांस रवाली ट्यूब बिसवित्न्यानें थोडे सुद्धां पाणी नाहीं. आमच्याकडून सदरी तळ्याबद्दल पूर्ण रक्कम भरुन घेतली आहे. तेव्हां आपल्या खात्याकडून आमची नुकसान भरपाई दावा करुन वसूल करणें कीं, काय समजत नाहीं. सदरी तळ्यास ट्यूब बसविलेला ओव्हरशिअर अद्यापि इकडेच आहे.

यावर्षी सुपा पेठांत फक्त तळ्यांची कामें आहेत. तेव्हां या ओव्हरशिअरला इकडे ठेवल्यास याप्रमाणेच जनतेचें व सरकारचें फक्तच नुकसान होईल म्हणून मी पूर्व सूचना केली आहे. तेव्हां कृपा करुन सदरी ओव्हरशिअरला इकडून लवकरलोब बदलून या भागांतील लोकांचा दुवा घ्यावा अशी आशा आहे. म्हणून लिहून दिला अर्ज ऐसाजे.—

तारीख—
५-१२-१९५३

सही—
नारायण राघोबा असुकर

20/82

F

8 12 5

N 592/14 2894.

P.W.

7Be.

21
182

This is another pseudonymous applⁿ. by Shri Narayan Raghoba Asulkar containing request for transfer of the Overseer at Supa. Pl. see in this con. the O.M. dt. 17-18 dtd. 25-11-53. In view thereof, the papers may perhaps be recorded.

14/12/53.

D.S.

15/12/53

To
The Minister of P.W.D. (Govt)
Bombay

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC ...
Rcvd. on 22-11-1953
... on ...
Passed on to Secy. P.W.D.
on 23/11/53

I have the honour to Consider the following facts for your kind and sympathetic action. One Mr. R.N. Malagah of Haliyal, who is a P.W.D. Contractor is getting all Contracts through the hands of the D.E Haliyal and making a good lot. In Haliyal Taluka and Supt. Pethe has all Contracts and no other Contractors are allowed without him. Before tenders he supplies all information to him. On 18th Dec 53 - Tender for H.C. Supa and Supa - Londha for building bridges - is also taken by him. It is understood by this act that the Deputy E. Haliyal and Mr. Malagah are Partners. So

Secy P.W.D. 23/11/53

22/11/53

We kindly beg to enquire about this matter and also about the ammonia bridge which has been taken so far

It is understood that each and every Contracting of P.W.D. is known. Of these Contractors. We don't find How this happens

Thanking you.

Yours faithfully
S.R. Dikshitulu

Halsrad
Ex-(2 53
(1) The Superintending P.W.D. Belgaum
(2) The E.E. Karwar.

F 22/12

S. Asst
for Engr

P. W. A
File No. NS
Serial
150

2/87 NS 92/150237

FBx. P.W.D.

Shri S. R. Deshpande of Haliyal bp 1-2 complains that all the contracts for the works in Haliyal are given by the Dy. Engr. at Haliyal to one Shri R. N. Ullalapadi, while the other contractors get no work. The report of the S.E., S.C. may be called for as per P.S. draft put up for approval.

OB
29/12/53

A.S.I

189 NS 92/150237

P.W.D.

No. NS 92/150237 F.

B.C. (B'bay. No. 1);

31st Dec. 1953.

To

The S.E., S.C.

Subject: Complaint re. contracts at Haliyal

I am directed to invite your attention to the letter dt. the 19-12-53, from Shri S.R. Deshpande of Haliyal, addressed to the M., P.W.D. and copy endorsed to you, and to request you to ~~submit~~ favour Govt. and your report in the matter.

By order etc.

Asst Secy

ISSUED 4/1/54

D.A. Nil

29/12/53

Confidential
No.G-34 Kan.

Office of the
Superintending Engineer,S.C.
Bdgaum: 08 FEB 1954

From

The Superintending Engineer, S.C.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department, Bombay.

Subject :- Complaint regarding contracts at Haliyal.

Reference :- G.L.P.W.D.No.NS-92/150237-F dated the 31st December 1953

2. A registered acknowledgment due letter was sent to Shri.S.R. Deshpande which has been returned by the Postal Authorities stating that the addressee is either not known or not found. It is therefore evident that the letter is pseudonymous and needs no further action.However I have called for a report from the Executive Engineer Kanara Division and further action will be taken in the matter on receipt of his report.

Superintending Engineer,S.C.

8-2-54

A92



9 193 NS 92/18820

F.Br. P.W.D.

Submitted with reference to p5 G.L. No. NS 92/150237 F, dt. the 31-12-53.

2. A further report from the S.E., S.C. may be awaited.

15/2/54 15/2/54

A.S.I

#195

CONFIDENTIAL
No. G-34/Kan.

Office of the
Superintending Engineer, S.C.,
Belgaum: - 6 MAR 1954

From

The Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department, Bombay No.1.

Subject :- Complaint regarding contracts at Haliyal.

Reference :- This office letter No. G-34/Kan Confidential dated the 8th February 1954.

2. From the report of the Executive Engineer, Kanara Division since received it is found that the allegations made in the letter dated the 19th December 1953 said to be from Shri. S.R. Deshpande are baseless. Moreover the application has been found to be pseudonymous. No action in the matter is therefore considered necessary.

D.A. - NIL.

6-3-54.

Superintending Engineer, S.C.

6/3

C.C.

~~13~~ 19) NS 92/31416

FBr. P.W.D.

Submitted with reference to p5 G.L.
No. NS 92/150237 F, dt. the 31-12-53.

2. The S.E., S.C. p11 states that the application dt. the 19-12-53 of Shri S.R. Deshpande is pseudonymous and the allegations made therein are baseless. No further action seems necy. on these pps.

19/8/54

Addl. D.S.